

Remarks/Arguments

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided generally to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101

The Office Action rejected claims 77-94 (of which claims 77, 80-81, 83-86, 89-90 and 93-34 are currently pending) under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has amended claims 77, 80-81, 83-86, 89-90 and 93-94 accordingly. In addition, Applicant has deleted the reference to non-statutory subject matter in paragraph 2 on page 20 of the specification.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections have been successfully traversed and that the application is now in order for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the application and allowance thereof is courteously solicited.

If there are any fees due, please charge them to our Deposit Account No. 50-2638.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 27, 2007

/John P. Ward/
John P. Ward
Reg. No. 40,216

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
CUSTOMER NUMBER 56188
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Phone: (650) 328-8500
Fax: (650) 328-8508
E-Mail: wardj@gtlaw.com